# **TECHNICAL BULLETIN**

# PURELL® Waterless Surgical Scrub Technical Data

INDICATIONS: significantly reduces the number of micro-organisms on the hands and forearms prior to surgery or patient care

#### **DIRECTIONS:**

- clean under the nails with a nail pick
- nails should be maintained with a 1 millimeter free edge
- place 2 mL of product into palm of one hand
- dip fingertips of opposite hand into the product and work under nails
- spread remaining product evenly over the hands and lower 2/3 of one forearm paying particular attention to the nails, cuticles, and interdigital spaces
- place 2 mL of product into opposite hand and repeat steps above
- allow to air dry completely

#### **Physical Properties**

Active Ingredient 70% Ethyl Alcohol

**Appearance: Clear to translucent** 

solution

Fragrance: No fragrance

Form: Liquid

pH: 2.7 - 4.0

#### **Ingredients**

INCI Name* Ingredient Class			
Active:	<b>g</b>		
Ethyl Alcohol	Antimicrobial Agent		
Also Contains:	3		
Water (Aqua)	Carrier		
Isopropyl Alcohol	Denaturant		
Isopropyl Myristate	Emollient		
Glycerin	Skin Conditioning Agent, Humectant		
Diisopropyl Sebacate	Emollient, Skin Moisturizer		
Polyquaternium-37	Thickener, Stabilizer, and Conditioner		
PEG/PPG-20/6 Dimethicone	Surfactant, Emulsifying Agent		
Citric Acid	pH Adjuster		
	Viscosity Increasing Agent, Film Former, and		
Hydroxypropylcellulose	Emollient		
Methylchloroisothiazolinone	Preservative		
Tetradibutyl Pentaerithrityl			
Hydroxyhydrocinnamate	Antioxidant		

#### Methylisothiazolinone

Preservative

\*International Nomenclature Cosmetic Ingredient

# **Irritancy Data and Allergy Test Results**

21 Day Cumulative Irritancy Assay with Delayed Challenge

Objective: Evaluation of skin irritation potential in humans.

Description of Test: Phillips et al (Toxic and Applied Pharmacology 21:369-

382) summarizes the method utilized for this evaluation. Fresh materials are applied daily, 6 days per week, for 21

days to the same site (patches were not moved or

reapplied on Sundays).

Independent

RCTS, INC. Irving, TX USA

Laboratory:

Date: 7 April 2006

Results: Average Score = 0.02 (scale 0 - 4); No sensitization

occurred.

Conclusions: Mild. Product has a low potential for skin irritation and

allergic contact dermatitis.

### **Human Repeated Insult Patch Test**

Objective: Determination of the dermal irritation and sensitization

potential of the product.

Description of Test: Human repeated insult patch test.

Independent Clinica

Clinical Research Laboratories, Inc., Piscataway, N.J.

Laboratory:

Date: 27 June 2006

Results: No visible skin reactions were observed during the

induction or challenge phases of the study.

Conclusions: Test product demonstrated no potential for eliciting

either dermal irritation or sensitization.

# Efficacy Data – In Vivo

Objective: This study evaluated the antimicrobial effectiveness of

one (1) test product and one (1) reference product using

Effectiveness Testing of a Surgical Hand Scrub, as specified by the Food and Drug Administration (FR

59:116, 17 June 94, pp. 31448-31450).

Description of Test: This study evaluated the antimicrobial efficacy of one (1)

test product, PURELL Surgical Scrub with Moisturizers,

Page 2 of 7

© 2008, GOJO Industries, Inc. All rights reserved.

used in two (2) different application configurations and one reference product with 4% w/w chlorohexidine gluconate. There were a total of fifty-six subjects assigned to one of the three groups. Sampling was performed on Days 1, 3 and 5 of the Baseline Week to establish baseline population values for each subject.

Independent Laboratory:

BioScience Laboratories, Inc., Bozeman, MT

Date: 23 March 2006

Results: The test product applied per Test Product Configuration 1 and

2 met all of the critical indices of the study. The reference product met all of the critical indices of the study, as expected.

Test	Application Method #1	Application Method #2	Reference	FDA
	Three separate	Two separate applications	Product	Acceptance
	applications of two mL of	of two mL of test product.		Criteria
	test product.			
	Log <sub>10</sub> Reduction	Log <sub>10</sub> Reduction	Log <sub>10</sub> Reduction	Log <sub>10</sub> Reduction
Day 1, Immediate	3.08	2.31	1.35	1
Day 1, 3 hour	2.53	2.58	1.19	>0 <sup>1</sup>
Day 1, 6 hour	2.30	2.19	0.49	N/A <sup>2</sup>
Day 2, Immediate	3.39	2.93	2.34	2
Day 2, 3 hour	3.09	3.00	1.70	N/A
Day 2, 6 hour	2.51	2.47	1.33	N/A
Day 5, Immediate	3.02	3.15	3.77	3
Day 5, 3 hour	2.99	3.14	2.93	N/A
Day 5, 6 hour	2.64	2.88	2.75	N/A

FDA acceptance criteria = bacterial cell count does not exceed baseline within 6 hours on the first day

<sup>2</sup> N/A: Not applicable

**Conclusions:** Product meets the criteria for a surgical hand scrub.

### Efficacy Data - In Vitro

Time-Kill Evaluation

Objective: Evaluate the antimicrobial effectiveness of the product in

vitro.

Description of Test: Fifteen (15) second time-kill evaluations were performed

utilizing fifty (50) challenge bacterial and fungal strains. The challenge inoculum was introduced to the test product at time zero; a portion of the sample was removed and placed in neutralizing media at the appropriate time (15 seconds). Standard plate counting techniques were used

to enumerate viable challenge microorganisms.

Independent Laboratory: BioScience Laboratories, Inc., Bozeman, MT

Date: 23 March 2006

#### Results:

	ATCC	Exposure	Percent
Challenge Microbe	No.	(seconds)	Reduction
Acinetobacter baumannii	19606	15	99.9999%
Bacillus megaterium (vegetative cells)	14581	15	99.9999%
Bacteroides fragilis	29762	15	99.9999%
Burkholderia cepacia	25416	15	99.9999%
Campylobacter jejuni	29428	15	99.9999%
Citrobacter freundii	8090	15	99.9999%
Clostridium difficile (vegetative cells)	9689	15	99.9994%
Clostridium perfringens (vegetative cells)	13124	15	99.9997%
Corynebacterium diphtheriae	11913	15	99.9996%
Enterobacter aerogenes	13048	15	99.9999%
Enterococcus faecalis (MDR, VRE)	51575	15	99.9999%
Enterococcus faecalis	29212	15	99.9999%
Enterococcus faecium (MDR, VRE)	51559	15	99.9999%
Escherichia coli	11229	15	99.9998%
Escherichia coli	25922	15	99.9998%
Escherichia coli (O157:H7)	43888	15	99.9998%
Haemophilus influenzae MDR	33930	15	99.9999%
Klebsiella pneumoniae	11296	15	99.9999%
Subsp.ozaenae			
Klebsiella pneumoniae	13883	15	99.9999%
Subsp.pneumoniae			
Lactobacillus plantarum	14917	15	99.9999%
Listeria monocytogenes	7644	15	99.9999%

Listeria monocytogenes	15313	15	99.9999%
Micrococcus luteus	7468	15	99.9999%
Proteus mirabilis	7002	15	99.9999%
	13315	15	99.9999%
Proteus vulgaris	_		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	15442	15	99.9999%
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	27853	15	99.9999%
Salmonella choleraesuis	10708	15	99.9999%
Serotype Choleraesuis	40070	4.5	00.0000/
Salmonella choleraesuis	13076	15	99.9999%
Serotype Enteritidis	4.4000	4.5	00.0000/
Salmonella choleraesuis	14028	15	99.9999%
Serotype Typhimurium	4.4==0	4 -	00.0000/
Serratia marcescens	14756	15	99.9999%
Shigella dysenteriae	13313	15	99.9999%
Shigella sonnei	11060	15	99.9999%
Staphylococcus aureus	6538	15	99.9999%
Staphylococcus aureus	29213	15	99.9999%
Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	33591	15	99.9999%
Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA;PVL+)	120805NrS	15	99.9999%
	a384*		
Staphylococcus epidermidis	12228	15	99.9999%
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	43253	15	99.9999%
Staphylococcus hominis	27845	15	99.9999%
Staphylococcus saprophyticus	49453	15	99.9999%
Streptococcus pneumoniae	33400	15	99.9999%
Streptococcus pyogenes	19615	15	99.9999%
	ATCC	Exposure	Percent
Yeasts and Fungi	ATCC No.	Exposure (seconds)	Percent Reduction
Yeasts and Fungi  Aspergillus flavus			
Aspergillus flavus	No.	(seconds)	Reduction
Aspergillus flavus Aspergillus niger	<b>No.</b> 9643	(seconds)	Reduction 99.9540% 95.5385%
Aspergillus flavus Aspergillus niger Candida albicans	No. 9643 9642 14053	(seconds)  15  15  15	Reduction 99.9540% 95.5385% 99.9999%
Aspergillus flavus Aspergillus niger Candida albicans Candida tropicalis	No. 9643 9642 14053 13803	(seconds)  15  15  15  15	Reduction 99.9540% 95.5385% 99.9999% 99.9999%
Aspergillus flavus Aspergillus niger Candida albicans Candida tropicalis Epidermophyton floccosum	No. 9643 9642 14053 13803 52066	(seconds)  15  15  15  15  15  15	Reduction 99.9540% 95.5385% 99.9999% 99.9999% 99.9052%
Aspergillus flavus Aspergillus niger Candida albicans Candida tropicalis	No. 9643 9642 14053 13803	(seconds)  15  15  15  15	Reduction 99.9540% 95.5385% 99.9999% 99.9999%

<sup>\*</sup>Clinical isolate

Abbreviations: MDR, Multiple drug resistant; VRE, Vancomycin resistant Enterococci; MRSA, Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; PVL, Panton-Valentine Leukocidin;

#### **Conclusions:**

Very effective reduction of Gram-negative and Grampositive bacteria, yeasts and fungi was demonstrated. Therefore the test product exhibits broad spectrum antimicrobial efficacy.

## **Glove Compatibility**

Test Method	ASTM D5151-99 Glove samples were immersed in product for a period of 2 hours and then examined for leaks. The control samples were not exposed to product.
Testing Lab	Smithers Scientific Services, Inc, February 14, 2006
Purpose of Study	Determine the effect of product on Surgical Gloves including latex, polyisoprene, latex free neoprene surgical gloves, and a second brand of neoprene surgical gloves.
Sample Size:	100 control gloves and 100 gloves were tested with PURELL Surgical Scrub with Moisturizers on each of three glove types. Tested were 100 each of latex, polyisoprene, neoprene and a second brand of neoprene surgical gloves.
Results:	In the unexposed control set, there was one leak in the neoprene glove set. There were no leaks in any of the other control or test gloves.
Conclusion:	The test product does not impact the integrity of latex, neoprene or polyisoprene surgical gloves.

# Compatibility Study To Measure The Effects Of PURELL Surgical Scrub with Moisturizers On The Antimicrobial Properties Of

One Chlorhexidine Gluconate Surgical Scrub Formulation

Objective: Assess the compatibility of the test article with a known

Chlorhexidine Gluconate (CHG) Surgical Scrub using a

modified surgical scrub procedure.

Description of Test: The compatibility of the test articles was assessed by

comparing the log reductions in baseline bacterial counts of the non-control test configurations to the log reductions

of the control configuration.

Prior to the study, subjects completed a 7 day wash out period to allow the normal microbial population of their

hands to stabilize.

After baseline readings were taken, participants either used the CHG Surgical Scrub product without the test

product, used the PURELL Surgical Scrub with

Moisturizers prior to washing with the CHG Surgical Scrub

or used the PURELL Surgical Scrub with Moisturizers after washing with the CHG Surgical Scrub product. One hand was tested immediately and the other hand was gloved for two hours and then tested.

Independent Laboratory: RCTS, Inc., Irving, TX, USA

Date: 14 April 2006

Results:

Configuration	Baseline	Log	Log Reduction
		Reduction	at 2 hours
		Immediate	
PURELL Surgical Scrub with Moisturizers	5.47	5.06	5.01
followed by use of CHG Surgical Scrub			
CHG Surgical Scrub followed by use of	5.98	5.98	5.98
PURELL Surgical Scrub with Moisturizers			
CHG Surgical Scrub (control test)	5.66	4.11	5.06

#### Conclusion

The log reduction of the test product used before or after the CHG product is not significantly lower than the log reduction of the CHG product when used alone at the immediate and 2 hour time points. Therefore, the test product does not interfere with the antimicrobial efficacy of CHG and is compatible with CHG containing products.

9900-500